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##  <br> $\mathbb{M} \mathbb{N} \mathbb{N} \mathbb{N} G \mathbb{D} \mathbb{R} \mathbb{R} \mathbb{M} \mathbb{N} T$

This survey is anonymous and will be used to gather ridership data and gauge the impact of the recent changes to the bus system. Please answer all of
the question you are comfortable answering.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| What is your ZIP code? | What is the highest level of education you have attained? |
|  | $\square$ High School or equivalent |
| What year were you born? | $\square$ Some College |
|  | Associate or Tech Degree$\square$ Undergraduate Degree |
|  |  |
| Are you: | $\square$ Graduate Degree |
| $\square$ Male $\quad \square$ Female | Are you currently employed? |
| Race/Ethnicity: check all that apply | $\square$ Yes, Full-Time |
| $\square$ Black/African American | $\square$ Yes, Part-Time |
| $\square$ White/Caucasian | $\square$ Unemployed, Looking |
| $\square$ Hispanic/Latino(a) | $\square$ Unemployed, Not Looking |
| $\square$ Asian/Pacific Islander | $\square$ Retired |
| $\square$ Native American | $\square^{\text {Student }}$ |
| $\square$ other | What is your estimated household income? |
| Including yourself, how many people are in your household? | $\square$ Less than \$10,000 |
| $\square^{1} \square^{2} \square^{3} \square^{4} \square^{5+}$ | $\square$ \$10,000 to \$29,999 |
|  | $\square$ \$30,000 to \$49,999 |
| (cars, trucks, and motorcycles) are | $\square \$ 50,000$ to $\$ 69,999$ |
| available in your household? |  |
| $\square 0 \quad \square 1 \quad \square^{2} \quad \square^{3+}$ | \$70,000 to \$99,999 $\square$ $\$ 100,000$ or more |



## Introduction/Methodology

Public transit in Oklahoma City has undergone a dramatic change in appearance and route orientation over the summer of 2014. The bus system has been rebranded from "Metro Transit" to "Embark", and new route orientations have been established based upon a study conducted by the transit firm, Nelson $\$ Nygaard. Though growing pains are inevitable with changes as dramatic as these to a system that many people rely on for daily use, ridership has increased steadily in the months subsequent to the changes. This survey was conducted to determine riders' satisfaction with the changes that occurred, as well as to learn how different rider demographic groups have been impacted relative to one another.

Utilizing a partnership with the University of Oklahoma Health Science Center, a graduate practicum student conducted the survey along each of the 19 primary routes of the Embark transit system. Intra-city routes and the downtown circulator were excluded due to the irregular nature of the services. In addition to questions regarding the changes to service, this survey collected a great deal of demographic information. And because this survey was conducted for each route, responses could be coupled with geographic location information.

599 riders participated in the survey, or roughly 30 riders per route. Surveys were administered during weekdays between 7:00am and 5:00 pm. The surveyor waited until all riders were boarded and then made an announcement to explain the purpose of the survey to all the riders, ensuring consent before distributing the survey. Riders with disabilities that limited their capacity to take the survey were assisted to ensure that no discrimination occurred during the process. Additionally, only one survey would be distributed per family in order to not skew the results. Roughly $20 \%$ of the riders refused to fill out a survey for a variety of reasons including requesting compensation, illiteracy, and apprehension of giving out information.

## Former Bus Routes - Access from 1/4-mile and 1/2-mile Distances



## New Bus Routes - Access from 1/4-mile and 1/2-mile Distances

1/4-mile: 100,272 households $-40.2 \%$ of the population


## ZIP Codes of Riders:

$73106(\times 62) \quad 73111(\times 53)$
$73119(\times 34) \quad 73108(\times 33)$ $73109(\times 33) \quad 73107(\times 32)$ $73114(\times 27) \quad 73112(\times 22)$ $73110(\times 21) \quad 73127(\times 21)$ $73117(\times 18) \quad 73129(\times 16)$ 73118 (×14) 73105 (x13) 73139 ( $\times 11$ ) 73135 (x10) 73120 ( $\times 7$ ) 73008 (x6) $73116(x 5)$ 73115 (x3) 73130 (x2) 73007 73020 73099 73134 73149 73102 (x13) 73104 (x12) 73159 ( $\times 11$ ) 73103 (x7) 73132 (x7) 73084 (x6) 73121 (x5) 73034 ( $\times 2$ ) 73142 (x2) 73012 73045 73122 73141 73162

Legend
Riders_ZIP Code SUM_Rider_Count 1-3
4-1213-22
23-34
 OKC Legal Boundary



## ROUTE 002



ZIP Codes of Riders:

| $73111(x 7)$ | $73117(x 4)$ | $73107(x 3)$ | $73114(x 2)$ | $73109(x 2)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 73104 | 73108 | 73105 | 73106 | 73102 | 73034 | 73084 | 73112 | 72121 |

73135


## ROUTE 003



ZIP Codes of Riders:
$73111(x 8) \quad 73110(\times 2) \quad 73117(x 2) \quad 73105(\times 2) \quad 73139(x 2)$
$\begin{array}{llllll}73106 & 73102 & 73107 & 73121 & 73103 & 73112\end{array}$


## ROUTE 005



ZIP Codes of Riders:

| $73111(x 5)$ | $73114(x 4)$ | $73106(x 3)$ | $73108(x 3)$ | $73120(x 2)$ | $73103(x 2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $73108(x 2)$ | $73118(x 2)$ | $73117(x 2)$ | $73127(x 2)$ | $73102(x 2)$ |  |
| 73129 | 73134 | 73110 | 73113 | 73119 | 73109 |



## ROUTE 007



## ZIP Codes of Riders:

$73105(\times 4) \quad 73106(x 4) \quad 73127(\times 3) \quad 73129(\times 3) \quad 73107(\times 2) \quad 73112(\times 2)$ 73114 (x2)

| 73008 | 73099 | 73102 | 73108 | 73110 | 73111 | 73115 | 73117 | 73121 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

73135


## ROUTE 008



ZIP Codes of Riders:
$73107(x 4) \quad 73112(x 3) \quad 73127(x 3) \quad 73106(\times 2) \quad 73110(\times 2) \quad 73116(\times 2)$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}73034 & 73108 & 73109 & 73111 & 73114 & 73118 & 73119 & 73142\end{array}$

## ROUTE 009



ZIP Codes of Riders:
$73106(x 8) \quad 73118(x 5) \quad 73111(x 3) \quad 73103(x 2) \quad 73108(x 2) \quad 73119(x 2)$ 73135 (x2)

| 73084 | 73102 | 73107 | 73109 | 73112 | 73127 | 73139 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



## ROUTE 010



## ZIP Codes of Riders:

$73106(x 5) \quad 73107(x 5) \quad 73112(x 5) \quad 73102(x 2) \quad 73111(x 2) \quad 73114(x 2)$ 73127 (x2)

| 73012 | 73108 | 73109 | 73116 | 73118 | 73122 | 73132 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## ROUTE 011



ZIP Codes of Riders:

| $73109(x 7)$ | $73108(x 5)$ | $73129(x 5)$ | $73107(\times 2)$ | $73110(\times 2)$ | $73111(\times 2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



## ROUTE 012



ZIP Codes of Riders:

| $73106(x 8)$ | $73119(x 3)$ | $73105(x 2)$ | $73107(x 2)$ | $73108(x 2)$ | $73111(x 2)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $73114(x 2)$ | $73114(x 2)$ | $73132(x 2)$ | $73159(x 2)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 73020 | 73104 | 73109 | 73112 | 73115 | 73117 | 73118 | 73135 |



## ROUTE 013



## ZIP Codes of Riders:

$73119(\mathrm{x} 12) \quad 73108(\mathrm{x} 6) \quad 73159(\mathrm{x} 5) \quad 73109(\mathrm{x}) \quad 73139(\mathrm{x}) \quad 73101(\mathrm{x})$


## ROUTE 014



ZIP Codes of Riders:
$73111(x 3) \quad 73112(x 3) \quad 73106(x 2) \quad 73135(x 2)$
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}73008 & 73015 & 73107 & 73108 & 73109 & 73117 & 73119 & 73120 & 73129\end{array}$ 74873

## ROUTE 015



ZIP Codes of Riders:

| $73110(x 9)$ | $73084(x 3)$ | $73130(x 2)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 73102 | 73107 | 73109 | 73115 | 73117 | 73119 | 73135 | 73162 |



ROUTE 016


## ZIP Codes of Riders:

$73106(x 7) \quad 73108(\times 6) \quad 73119(x 6) \quad 73109(x 3) \quad 73139(x 3) \quad 73111(\times 2)$ $73112(x 2) \quad 73120(x 2) \quad 73129(x 2) \quad 73132(x 2)$
$73107 \quad 73116 \quad 73118$


## ROUTE 018



## s of Riders:

$73114(x 4) \quad 73105(x 3) \quad 73111(x 3) \quad 73112(x 3) \quad 73106(x 2) \quad 73109(x 2)$ 73119 (x2)

73007

## ROUTE 019



ZIP Codes of Riders:
$73111(x 6) \quad 73114(x 5) \quad 73104(x 4) \quad 73117(x 3) \quad 73102(x 2) \quad 73120(x 2)$
73121 ( $\times 2$ )
$\begin{array}{lllllll}73008 & 73109 & 73118 & 73127 & 73141 & 73142 & 73159\end{array}$


ROUTE 022


ZIP Codes of Riders:
$73106(x 11) \quad 73111(x 6) \quad 73114(x 2)$
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}73102 & 73104 & 73105 & 73108 & 73110 & 73117 & 73119 & 73129 & 73135\end{array}$
73644


## ROUTE 023



ZIP Codes of Riders:

| $73127(x 7)$ | $73106(x 4)$ | $73107(x 3)$ | $73109(x 2)$ | $73119(x 2)$ | $73159(x 2)$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 73101 | 73102 | 73103 | 73108 | 73110 | 73111 | 73114 | 73132 | 73135 |



ROUTE 038


ZIP Codes of Riders:
73107 ( $\times 6$ ) 73104 ( $\times 4$ )
$\begin{array}{lllllll}73103 & 73106 & 73110 & 73117 & 73118 & 73127 & 73129\end{array}$


## ROUTE 040



## ZIP Codes of Riders:

$73109(x 7) \quad 73139(x 2)$

| 73100 | 73104 | 73106 | 73108 | 73110 | 73114 | 73119 | 73129 | 73132 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$73149 \quad 73159$


## Survey Results

The following pages graphically represent the results of the survey questions by route number, as well as overall system-wide results. There also are comments that consider the implications of the results to attempt to provide context for each individual question. There are three sections of questions:

## 1. Demographic Questions:

These questions are about the riders themselves, helping to create a profile of typical rider, with the intent of tailoring recommendations to meet specific needs.

## 2. Satisfaction Questions:

These questions center on rider perception and feelings surrounding existing transit service, as well as their feelings regarding the transition from Metro Transit to Embark.

## 3. Transit-Riding Habits Questions:

Questions in this category are focused on why and how riders use the bus system with regard to accessibility.

In addition to the graphic representation of the question results, for the satisfaction questions a weighted sum methodology was utilized to determine the relative satisfaction among demographic groups as well as individual transit routes. 42 different demographic groups in 9 different demographic categories were compared to determine any disparities among user groups. In some cases this demographic analysis revealed trends, but in other cases it became clear that there was little to no correlation between a certain demographic characteristic and a collective satisfaction opinion.

■ Average Birth Year


- Age Distribution



## What year were you born?

## RESULTS:

1\% $\quad 1939$ or earlier
6\% $\quad 1940$ to 1949
18\% 1950 to 1959
24\% $\quad 1960$ to 1969
20\% $\quad 1970$ to 1979
18\% 1980 to 1989

IMPLICATIONS: The average age of all transit riders is 44 years old, born in 1970. The age range of the riders that were surveyed is from 1929 to 2000. People of all ages utilize the Embark transit system, and despite variation among the different routes, there is little evidence to support any correlation. Routes 9 and 40 had the youngest average population, while routes 2,10 , and 38 had the oldest.


## What is your gender?

## RESULTS:

57\% Male
43\% Female

IMPLICATIONS: Of the survey takers, $57 \%$ were male, and all but two routes had a male majority. Route 2 in particular was very male dominated, with 8 in 10 riders surveyed being male. This could mean that women feel less comfortable riding the bus, whether alone or with a group. Additionally, there may have been a tendency for men to be more comfortable being approached to take a survey, as the surveyor is male. This potential bias should be considered for future surveying efforts.


## What is your race/ethnicity?

## RESULTS:

45\% Black/African-American
34\% White/Caucasian
4\% Hispanic/Latino(a)
1\% Asian/Pacific Islander
10\% Native American
6\% Other

IMPLICATIONS: Nearly half of all surveyed riders were black or African-American. Black citizens make up only $10.8 \%$ of the total population of Oklahoma City, and they are disproportionately over-represented among bus riders. On the other hand, white citizens, who make up $65.7 \%$ of the total population, are under-represented among bus riders. Additionally, few Hispanic and Asian citizens make use of the bus system. White citizens are predominantly in the outskirts of the city, beyond the transit service area, while black citizens primarily live near the city core (planokc HIA, p. 181), with better access to public transportation.


## How many people are in your household?

| RESULTS: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $40 \%$ | One |
| $24 \%$ | Two |
| $13 \%$ | Three |
| $12 \%$ | Four |
| $11 \%$ | Five or More |

IMPLICATIONS: The average household size for survey respondents was 2.3 people per household, which is lower than the Oklahoma City average of 2.5 people per household. Routes 38 and 10 had the lowest average household size, while routes 12 and 22 had the highest average household size. A policy that incentivizes families to take the bus could increase ridership and revenue.


## How many working vehicles are available to you?

\author{

RESULTS: <br> | $73 \%$ | None |
| ---: | :--- |
| $17 \%$ | One |
| $8 \%$ | Two |
| $2 \%$ | Three or More |

}

IMPLICATIONS: Nearly three quarters of transit riders surveyed do not have an automobile available to them, illustrating their dependence on public transit. No routes had less than $50 \%$ of surveyed riders without access to a vehicle; route 18 had greater than $90 \%$ without access. Only 1 in 10 riders had more than one car available in their household. This level of dependency requires that system changes be made with great care so as not to leave large numbers of riders without any feasible way to get around town.


## What is your highest attained education level?

## RESULTS:

51\% High School or Equivalent
29\% Some College
9\% Associate or Technical Degree
7\% Undergraduate Degree
4\% Graduate Degree

IMPLICATIONS: More than half of the surveyed riders indicate that their highest level of educational attainment is at the high school level without attending any type of college. 20\% of the respondents have a college degree at some level; route 38 has the highest percentage of riders with a degree, while route 9 had the lowest percentage. Route 13, which travels to and from OCCC has a predictably high level of responses for high school and some college.


## What is your employment status?

## RESULTS:

29\% Employed, Full-time
16\% Employed, Part-time
21\% Unemployed, Looking
11\% Unemployed, Not Looking
16\% Retired
7\% Student

IMPLICATIONS: Less than 50\% of surveyed bus riders are currently employed, many of who may be under-employed. The unemployment rate of bus riders is a staggering $32 \%$, which is seven times greater than the unemployment rate for Oklahoma City. Students and retired citizens make up nearly $1 / 4$ of riders. Of the $32 \%$ that are unemployed, $21 \%$ say that they are actively looking for work; and, with the high percentage of riders who do not have access to an automobile, the bus system represents their best chance at finding work. Consideration of transit access to employment centers around the city are essential.


## What is your household income?

## RESULTS:

| $56 \%$ | Less than $\$ 10,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $31 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 29,999$ |
| $8 \%$ | $\$ 30,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ |
| $2 \%$ | $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 69,999$ |
| $3 \%$ | $\$ 70,000$ or more |

IMPLICATIONS: The vast majority of riders are below the median income for Oklahoma City $(\$ 45,474)$. More than half of the riders surveyed identify with earning less than $\$ 10,000$ per year, which is below the national poverty level of $\$ 11,670$ for a single-person household. This percentage is influenced by the number of retired individuals, the unemployed, and parttime workers.


## Service Satisfaction by Route and Demographics

In order to understand riders' satisfaction with Embark transit services, several questions were asked regarding the experience of using the upgraded transit service. Surveyed riders rated these questions on a scale of "Strongly Agree" to "Strongly Disagree". In order to fully understand the opinion of a survey group, a weighted average response score was generated based on the point structure below:

| Strongly Agree | $=$ | 2 points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agree | $=$ | 1 point |
| No Opinion | $=$ | 0 points |
| Disagree | $=$ | -1 point |
| Strongly Disagree | $=$ | -2 points |

This relative comparison among routes and demographic groups allows for a clearer picture of which routes are performing better or worse, and which demographic groups feel more or less satisfied. If 100 people answered the survey question, 20 people for each of the 5 answer choices, the weighted sum would be 0.00 . At the end of this section the weighted averages are compared to one another in a series of tables.

EXAMPLE:



## What is your impression of the route changes?

## RESULTS:

25\% Much Better than Before
27\% A Little Better than Before
16\% The Same as Before
13\% A Little Worse than Before
7\% Much Worse than Before
12\% No Opinion/Not Applicable

IMPLICATIONS: A majority of bus riders feel that the new routes are an improvement from the former routes, though $20 \%$ feel it is worse than before. Nearly $30 \%$ either feel the routes are the same quality as before or had no feelings in particular about the question. Routes 8 and 15 had a significantly less positive opinion of the route changes, which may illustrate a need to rethink further the path of those routes.

| What is your impression of the route changes? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Better \% | No Opinion \% | Worse \% | Weighted Avg. |
|  | 599 | 52 | 28 | 20 | 0.520 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 320 | 52 | 29 | 19 | 0.516 |
| Female | 248 | 54 | 27 | 19 | 0.540 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 or younger | 62 | 39 | 40 | 21 | 0.290 |
| 25-34 | 84 | 49 | 32 | 19 | 0.464 |
| 35-44 | 98 | 47 | 34 | 19 | 0.367 |
| 45-54 | 119 | 57 | 25 | 18 | 0.639 |
| 55-64 | 91 | 56 | 22 | 22 | 0.505 |
| 65 or older | 35 | 54 | 17 | 29 | 0.457 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |
| African-American | 256 | 54 | 23 | 23 | 0.496 |
| Caucasian | 198 | 59 | 28 | 13 | 0.702 |
| Hispanic | 23 | 43 | 35 | 22 | 0.304 |
| Native American | 56 | 46 | 30 | 24 | 0.411 |
| Other | 38 | 29 | 45 | 26 | 0.079 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School | 282 | 52 | 28 | 20 | 0.535 |
| Some College | 159 | 54 | 26 | 20 | 0.509 |
| Associate or Tech Degree | 47 | 47 | 32 | 21 | 0.404 |
| Undergraduate Degree | 39 | 56 | 28 | 16 | 0.667 |
| Graduate Degree | 23 | 44 | 26 | 30 | 0.174 |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 292 | 55 | 26 | 19 | 0.534 |
| \$10,000 to \$29,999 | 164 | 50 | 27 | 23 | 0.433 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 41 | 63 | 27 | 10 | 0.756 |
| \$50,000 or More | 24 | 50 | 29 | 21 | 0.417 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes, Full-Time | 165 | 52 | 33 | 15 | 0.533 |
| Yes, Part-Time | 85 | 52 | 23 | 25 | 0.400 |
| No, Looking | 120 | 48 | 25 | 27 | 0.358 |
| No, Not Looking | 62 | 56 | 31 | 13 | 0.742 |
| Retired | 90 | 62 | 20 | 18 | 0.733 |
| Student | 39 | 46 | 36 | 18 | 0.462 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 222 | 52 | 24 | 24 | 0.473 |
| 2 | 133 | 57 | 26 | 17 | 0.602 |
| 3 | 73 | 49 | 38 | 12 | 0.507 |
| 4 | 69 | 52 | 32 | 16 | 0.594 |
| 5+ | 60 | 47 | 30 | 23 | 0.383 |
| Vehicle Access |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 400 | 52 | 27 | 21 | 0.505 |
| 1 | 94 | 57 | 29 | 14 | 0.617 |
| 2+ | 56 | 45 | 36 | 20 | 0.357 |
| Ride Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5+ Times per Week | 287 | 49 | 27 | 24 | 0.415 |
| 3 or 4 Times perWeek | 133 | 58 | 23 | 19 | 0.586 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Week | 86 | 59 | 32 | 9 | 0.698 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Month | 34 | 53 | 29 | 18 | 0.647 |
| Less than Once per Month | 19 | 42 | 53 | 5 | 0.632 |
| First Time | 10 | 20 | 70 | 10 | 0.200 |

## DESCRIPTION:

The overall impression of the route changes associated with the Embark transition is positive, with roughly half of all respondents either stating that the changes make the system better or much better. Some demographic groups are more satisfied with the changes than others, however.

Gender: Women are more satisfied with the changes than men, though not significantly.
Age: Younger riders are less satisfied with the new routes, while middle-aged riders are the most satisfied.

Race: Races other than African-American, Caucasian, Hispanic, and Native American were the least satisfied demographic as all, with a weighted average of 0.079 on a scale of -2 to +2 . Caucasians are the most satisfied race with the recent changes.

Education: There is a decreasing satisfaction trend with greater education, excluding those riders with Undergraduate degrees, whom are more satisfied than most demographic groups.

Income: There is little correlation with income and new route satisfaction

Employment: Those who do not work (retired, or unemployed, not looking) are far more satisfied with the new routes than those who work full time, part time, as a student, or looking for a job while unemployed.

Household Size: There is little correlation with household size and new route satisfaction.
Vehicle Access: Households with 2 or more vehicles are much less satisfied with the route changes than those with 1 or less.

Ride Frequency: First-time riders and riders that use the bus system less than one time per month unsurprisingly lacked an opinion of the recent changes. Those who ride the bus most frequently had a less positive impression of the route changes than those who ride less frequently.


## The bus takes me where I need to go...

## RESULTS:

| 38\% | Strongly Agree |
| ---: | :--- |
| $45 \%$ | Agree |
| $7 \%$ | No Opinion |
| $8 \%$ | Disagree |
| $2 \%$ | Strongly Agree |

IMPLICATIONS: While people who ride the bus do so because it provides access to the places they need or want to go, often, transit doesn't provide sufficient access. In Oklahoma City, however, $83 \%$ of riders are satisfied with the bus service with regard to accessing places that are important to them. Just 1 out of 10 riders on average are unsatisfied, though routes 2 and 19 are closer to 1 out of 5 riders.

| Buses Take Me Where I Want To Go |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Agree \% | No Opinion \% | Disagree \% | Weighted Avg. |
|  | 599 | 83 | 7 | 10 | 1.072 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 324 | 81 | 8 | 11 | 1.022 |
| Female | 264 | 86 | 4 | 10 | 1.155 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 or younger | 63 | 84 | 10 | 6 | 1.127 |
| 25-34 | 87 | 85 | 6 | 9 | 1.138 |
| 35-44 | 98 | 82 | 6 | 12 | 1.010 |
| 45-54 | 120 | 86 | 5 | 9 | 1.117 |
| 55-64 | 89 | 79 | 6 | 15 | 0.921 |
| 65 or older | 36 | 83 | 9 | 8 | 1.111 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |
| African-American | 261 | 80 | 7 | 13 | 1.057 |
| Caucasian | 198 | 85 | 5 | 10 | 1.045 |
| Hispanic | 23 | 83 | 13 | 4 | 1.261 |
| Native American | 57 | 88 | 8 | 4 | 1.175 |
| Other | 39 | 85 | 7 | 8 | 1.128 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School | 285 | 85 | 8 | 7 | 1.196 |
| Some College | 158 | 82 | 3 | 15 | 0.943 |
| Associate or Tech Degree | 50 | 70 | 16 | 14 | 0.820 |
| Undergraduate Degree | 39 | 79 | 6 | 15 | 1.000 |
| Graduate Degree | 24 | 83 | 0 | 17 | 0.958 |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$ 10,000 | 298 | 86 | 6 | 8 | 1.208 |
| \$10,000 to \$29,999 | 163 | 79 |  | 13 | 0.951 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 38 | 87 | 5 | 8 | 1.026 |
| \$50,000 or More | 25 | 68 | 8 | 24 | 0.560 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes, Full-Time | 166 | 81 | 8 | 11 | 0.994 |
| Yes, Part-Time | 87 | 85 | 6 | 9 | 1.172 |
| No, Looking | 121 | 83 | 6 | 11 | 1.116 |
| No, Not Looking | 65 | 83 | 9 | 8 | 1.169 |
| Retired | 89 | 87 | 3 | 10 | 1.146 |
| Student | 41 | 76 | 9 | 15 | 0.829 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 225 | 82 | 6 | 12 | 1.036 |
| 2 | 134 | 83 | 7 | 10 | 1.090 |
| 3 | 73 | 79 | 9 | 12 | 1.014 |
| 4 | 69 | 87 | 9 | 4 | 1.232 |
| 5+ | 61 | 85 | 2 | 13 | 1.131 |
| Vehicle Access |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 405 | 85 | 6 | 9 | 1.121 |
| 1 | 94 | 80 | 10 | 10 | 1.117 |
| 2+ | 57 | 75 | 9 | 16 | 0.825 |
| Ride Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5+ Times per Week | 290 | 82 | 5 | 13 | 1.028 |
| 3 or 4 Times per Week | 134 | 82 | 12 | 6 | 1.015 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Week | 88 | 82 | 10 | 8 | 1.125 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Month | 35 | 91 | 9 | 0 | 1.343 |
| Less than Once per Month | 19 | 74 | 26 | 0 | 1.158 |
| First Time | 11 | 91 | 9 | 0 | 1.455 |

## DESCRIPTION:

With regard to the bus taking riders where they want to go, the overall opinion is positive with a total weighted average of 1.072 , greater than the threshold for "Agree", meaning the average rider agrees that they are able to get where they want to go.

Gender: Women are $13 \%$ more satisfied with route destinations than men.

Age: There is little correlation with the age of riders and destination satisfaction.
Race: Hispanics are substantially more satisfied than the other races with regard to destinations available on bus routes.

Education: Riders whose highest educational attainment is High School are significantly more satisfied with destinations along bus routes than those with higher levels of attainment.

Income: Those with the lowest levels of income are significantly more satisfied with where the bus takes them than those with higher levels of income. Riders with a household income greater than $\$ 50,000$ were much less satisfied than the other income brackets.

Employment: Riders that are employed full-time or are students are less satisfied than part-time employees, the unemployed and retired riders.

Household Size: There is little correlation with household size and destination satisfaction.

Vehicle Access: Households with 2 or more vehicles are much less satisfied with the route changes than those with 1 or less.

Ride Frequency: Those who ride the bus most frequently, 3 or more times per week, are the least satisfied with the bus route destinations.


## Buses are on time.

## RESULTS:

| $24 \%$ | Strongly Agree |
| ---: | :--- |
| $43 \%$ | Agree |
| $14 \%$ | No Opinion |
| $15 \%$ | Disagree |
| $4 \%$ | Strongly Agree |

IMPLICATIONS: Two thirds of bus riders agree that the buses are typically on time; 1 out 5 riders, however, disagree. Routes 5, 7, 18, and 19 had the highest level of disagreement at around $30 \%$ of riders surveyed. This perceived delay could be based upon traffic on these routes, the amount of riders using cash (slowing down the pick-up process), or individual drivers who are not performing effectively.

| Buses Are On Time |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Agree \% | No Opinion \% | Disagree \% | Weighted Avg. |
|  | 599 | 67 | 14 | 19 | 0.680 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 318 | 66 | 13 | 21 | 0.651 |
| Female | 245 | 68 | 15 | 17 | 0.727 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 or younger | 62 | 54 | 23 | 23 | 0.468 |
| 25-34 | 89 | 65 | 14 | 21 | 0.551 |
| 35-44 | 97 | 64 | 16 | 20 | 0.670 |
| 45-54 | 118 | 72 | 9 | 19 | 0.712 |
| 55-64 | 83 | 73 | 11 | 16 | 0.771 |
| 65 or older | 35 | 74 | 9 | 17 | 0.943 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |
| African-American | 256 | 64 | 16 | 20 | 0.676 |
| Caucasian | 194 | 71 | 11 | 18 | 0.711 |
| Hispanic | 21 | 86 | 9 | 5 | 1.048 |
| Native American | 55 | 56 | 20 | 24 | 0.509 |
| Other | 40 | 68 | 7 | 25 | 0.550 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School | 279 | 67 | 14 | 19 | 0.728 |
| Some College | 154 | 63 | 15 | 22 | 0.539 |
| Associate or Tech Degree | 50 | 64 | 18 | 18 | 0.620 |
| Undergraduate Degree | 37 | 81 | 5 | 14 | 0.892 |
| Graduate Degree | 24 | 75 | 0 | 25 | 0.625 |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 289 | 68 | 15 | 17 | 0.747 |
| \$10,000 to \$29,999 | 160 | 64 | 13 | 23 | 0.556 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 41 | 63 | 12 | 23 | 0.463 |
| \$50,000 or More | 25 | 84 | 0 | 16 | 0.920 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes, Full-Time | 164 | 65 | 12 | 23 | 0.579 |
| Yes, Part-Time | 87 | 70 | 9 | 21 | 0.701 |
| No, Looking | 119 | 64 | 18 | 18 | 0.639 |
| No, Not Looking | 61 | 70 | 15 | 15 | 0.885 |
| Retired | 86 | 77 | 9 | 14 | 0.919 |
| Student | 41 | 52 | 24 | 24 | 0.390 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 218 | 71 | 12 | 17 | 0.757 |
| 2 | 135 | 64 | 12 | 24 | 0.578 |
| 3 | 71 | 72 | 7 | 21 | 0.690 |
| 4 | 67 | 66 | 16 | 18 | 0.672 |
| 5+ | 61 | 62 | 17 | 21 | 0.607 |
| Vehicle Access |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 397 | 66 | 13 | 21 | 0.625 |
| 1 | 94 | 69 | 16 | 15 | 0.777 |
| 2+ | 56 | 75 | 9 | 16 | 0.893 |
| Ride Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5+ Times per Week | 283 | 65 | 12 | 23 | 0.594 |
| 3 or 4 Times per Week | 134 | 66 | 14 | 20 | 0.604 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Week | 83 | 70 | 14 | 16 | 0.771 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Month | 35 | 71 | 23 | 6 | 1.086 |
| Less than Once per Month | 19 | 68 | 11 | 21 | 0.842 |
| First Time | 11 | 82 | 18 | 0 | 1.364 |

## DESCRIPTION:

Timeliness is always one of, if not the, most important measures of transit success. Therefore, satisfaction levels related to timeliness can be a key indicator related to overall happiness of riders with the transit system. The weighted average on this question scored lower than for questions related to safety, cleanliness, and driver helpfulness.

Gender: Women are more satisfied with the timeliness of the bus system than men.
Age: As the age of riders increases they become more satisfied with the timeliness of the transit system. This could mean that the routes that young people are on have more trouble with timeliness, or that young people have a lower tolerance for being late for one reason or another.

Race: Hispanic riders are significantly more satisfied with the timeliness of the bus system than other racial and ethnic groups.

Education: There is little correlation with education levels and satisfaction with timeliness.
Income: The highest-income riders and lowest-income riders are substantially more satisfied with system timeliness than those riders in the middle income brackets.

Employment: Full-time employees and students are noticeably dissatisfied with the timeliness of the bus system, while riders that are retired or not looking for work have some of the highest levels of satisfaction of any demographic groups.

Household Size: There is little correlation with household size and bus timeliness.
Vehicle Access: Rider households with 0 vehicles available to them are noticeably less satisfied with bus timeliness than households with one or more.

Ride Frequency: Those riders who ride the bus the most are the least satisfied with system timeliness.


## Drivers are courteous and helpful...

## RESULTS:

| $35 \%$ | Strongly Agree |
| ---: | :--- |
| $40 \%$ | Agree |
| $13 \%$ | No Opinion |
| $9 \%$ | Disagree |
| $3 \%$ | Strongly Agree |

IMPLICATIONS: 3/4 of riders surveyed agreed that bus drivers were helpful and courteous On average, only 1 in 10 riders disagrees, but routes 12 and 13 have greater than 2 out of 10 riders who disagree. It is possible that the riders surveyed on these routes are all referring to specific drivers, isolating their dissatisfaction. Embark could investigate these discrepancies and/or offer further customer relations training.

| Drivers Are Helpful And Courteous |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Agree \% | No Opinion \% | Disagree \% | Weighted Avg. |
|  | 599 | 75 | 13 | 12 | 0.967 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 319 | 78 | 13 | 9 | 0.994 |
| Female | 241 | 73 | 14 | 13 | 0.934 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 or younger | 63 | 71 | 19 | 10 | 1.000 |
| 25-34 | 88 | 73 | 17 | 10 | 0.864 |
| 35-44 | 96 | 69 | 13 | 18 | 0.792 |
| 45-54 | 116 | 79 | 12 | 9 | 1.052 |
| 55-64 | 86 | 77 | 14 | 9 | 0.965 |
| 65 or older | 35 | 91 | 3 | 6 | 1.200 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |
| African-American | 253 | 74 | 16 | 10 | 0.957 |
| Caucasian | 196 | 78 | 10 | 12 | 0.990 |
| Hispanic | 22 | 77 | 5 | 18 | 0.955 |
| Native American | 53 | 78 | 11 | 11 | 1.019 |
| Other | 39 | 64 | 23 | 13 | 0.795 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School | 278 | 78 | 12 | 10 | 1.036 |
| Some College | 156 | 72 | 15 | 13 | 0.872 |
| Associate or Tech Degree | 49 | 63 | 25 | 12 | 0.816 |
| Undergraduate Degree | 35 | 80 | 11 | 9 | 1.143 |
| Graduate Degree | 23 | 74 | 4 | 22 | 0.652 |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$ 10,000 | 287 | 75 | 12 | 13 | 0.979 |
| \$10,000 to \$29,999 | 162 | 76 | 14 | 10 | 0.914 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 41 | 76 | 12 | 12 | 0.976 |
| \$50,000 or More | 23 | 87 | 0 | 13 | 1.043 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes, Full-Time | 160 | 76 | 15 | 9 | 0.988 |
| Yes, Part-Time | 84 | 81 | 6 | 13 | 1.012 |
| No, Looking | 118 | 69 | 16 | 15 | 0.788 |
| No, Not Looking | 61 | 77 | 13 | 10 | 1.131 |
| Retired | 88 | 84 | 10 | 6 | 1.182 |
| Student | 42 | 60 | 26 | 14 | 0.643 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 217 | 76 | 12 | 12 | 0.922 |
| 2 | 134 | 75 | 17 | 8 | 1.030 |
| 3 | 73 | 81 | 7 | 12 | 0.986 |
| 4 | 65 | 71 | 14 | 15 | 0.908 |
| 5+ | 60 | 73 | 17 | 10 | 0.983 |
| Vehicle Access |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 395 | 74 | 14 | 12 | 0.919 |
| 1 | 95 | 77 | 14 | 9 | 1.011 |
| 2+ | 54 | 85 | 9 | 6 | 1.259 |
| Ride Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5+ Times perWeek | 284 | 74 | 11 | 15 | 0.866 |
| 3 or 4 Times per Week | 128 | 74 | 17 | 9 | 0.969 |
| 1 or 2 Times perWeek | 84 | 80 | 13 | 7 | 1.095 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Month | 35 | 69 | 26 | 6 | 1.086 |
| Less than Once per Month | 19 | 90 | 5 | 5 | 1.368 |
| First Time | 11 | 82 | 18 | 0 | 1.364 |

## DESCRIPTION

The weighted average for this question indicates that most riders agree that drivers are helpful and courteous. Individual groups who score lowly on this question could be referenced in driver training as a group that may need special attention.

Gender: Men are more satisfied with driver helpfulness than women.
Age: There is little correlation with age and satisfaction with driver behavior.
Race: Races other than African-American, Caucasian, Hispanic, and Native American were the least satisfied group, substantially lower than the other races/ethnicities.

Education: There is a decreasing satisfaction trend with greater education, excluding those riders with Undergraduate degrees, whom are more satisfied than most demographic groups.

Income: There is little correlation with income and satisfaction with driver behavior.
Employment: Students and the unemployed but looking riders were substantially less satisfied with driver interactions than the other groups.

Household Size: There is little correlation with household size and satisfaction with driver behavior.
Vehicle Access: Riders with access to motor vehicles were more satisfied with driver interactions than those riders with no access to a motor vehicle.

Ride Frequency: The greater the frequency of transit ridership, the lower the satisfaction level with driver interactions. This could indicate that though negative interactions are not commonplace, when they do occur they leave an impact on the riders who are present and/or affected.


## The buses are clean...

## RESULTS:

| $31 \%$ | Strongly Agree |
| ---: | :--- |
| $44 \%$ | Agree |
| $13 \%$ | No Opinion |
| $9 \%$ | Disagree |
| $3 \%$ | Strongly Agree |

IMPLICATIONS: 3/4 of riders surveyed agreed that the buses are clean. On average just 1 in 10 riders disagreed; however, on routes 12 and 23, 1 in 4 riders disagreed that the buses are clean. Special attention could be given to the routes that had greater than $20 \%$ of respondents disagree with the statement that the buses are clean.

| Buses Are Clean |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Agree \% | No Opinion \% | Disagree \% | Weighted Avg. |
|  | 599 | 75 | 13 | 12 | 0.906 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 319 | 76 | 13 | 11 | 0.931 |
| Female | 245 | 73 | 14 | 13 | 0.869 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 or younger | 63 | 70 | 16 | 14 | 0.825 |
| 25-34 | 88 | 67 | 20 | 13 | 0.750 |
| 35-44 | 97 | 72 | 11 | 17 | 0.835 |
| 45-54 | 119 | 77 | 10 | 13 | 0.916 |
| 55-64 | 85 | 84 | 9 | 7 | 1.012 |
| 65 or older | 35 | 91 | 0 | 9 | 1.286 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |
| African-American | 255 | 70 | 15 | 15 | 0.847 |
| Caucasian | 196 | 83 | 10 | 7 | 1.020 |
| Hispanic | 21 | 67 | 19 | 14 | 0.952 |
| Native American | 55 | 75 | 16 | 9 | 0.891 |
| Other | 40 | 68 | 9 | 23 | 0.700 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School | 279 | 79 | 11 | 10 | 1.014 |
| Some College | 156 | 67 | 17 | 16 | 0.724 |
| Associate or Tech Degree | 50 | 68 | 18 | 14 | 0.760 |
| Undergraduate Degree | 36 | 83 | 9 | 8 | 1.194 |
| Graduate Degree | 24 | 71 | 4 | 25 | 0.583 |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 291 | 77 | 12 | 11 | 0.993 |
| \$10,000 to \$29,999 | 159 | 67 | 18 | 15 | 0.717 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 41 | 78 | 10 | 12 | 0.951 |
| 50 Plus | 24 | 88 | 4 | 8 | 0.958 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes, Full-Time | 162 | 77 | 10 | 13 | 0.883 |
| Yes, Part-Time | 88 | 68 | 17 | 15 | 0.830 |
| No, Looking | 119 | 72 | 15 | 13 | 0.857 |
| No, Not Looking | 60 | 77 | 11 | 12 | 1.033 |
| Retired | 87 | 85 | 8 | 7 | 1.184 |
| Student | 42 | 64 | 26 | 10 | 0.619 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 221 | 76 | 10 | 14 | 0.873 |
| 2 | 135 | 77 | 13 | 10 | 0.978 |
| 3 | 71 | 75 | 12 | 13 | 0.887 |
| 4 | 66 | 71 | 18 | 11 | 0.924 |
| 5+ | 60 | 68 | 19 | 13 | 0.833 |
| Vehicle Access |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 399 | 73 | 12 | 15 | 0.855 |
| 1 | 92 | 78 | 14 | 8 | 0.978 |
| 2+ | 56 | 75 | 21 | 4 | 1.036 |
| Ride Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5+ Times perWeek | 283 | 69 | 17 | 14 | 0.777 |
| 3 or 4 Times per Week | 133 | 77 | 12 | 11 | 0.955 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Week | 84 | 82 | 6 | 12 | 1.036 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Month | 36 | 81 | 14 | 6 | 1.056 |
| Less than Once per Month | 19 | 89 | 6 | 5 | 1.263 |
| First Time | 11 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1.455 |

## DESCRIPTION:

A common reason given by people who refuse to use a transit system is a concern about the level of cleanliness on buses. The results of this survey dispel this myth as riders indicated that they are satisfied with the level of cleanliness.

Gender: Men are more satisfied with the level of cleanliness than are women.
Age: Younger riders are less satisfied with the level of cleanliness than older riders.
Race: Caucasian riders are the most satisfied with the level of cleanliness on the bus system, while African American riders and riders that fall in the category of "other races" were the least satisfied.

Education: There is a decreasing satisfaction trend with greater education, excluding those riders with Undergraduate degrees, whom are more satisfied than most demographic groups.

Income: There is little correlation with income and new route satisfaction.
Employment: Those who do not work (retired, or unemployed, not looking) are far more satisfied with the level of cleanliness than those who work full time, part time, as a student, or looking for a job while unemployed. Students, in particular, were the least satisfied.

Household Size: There is little correlation with household size and satisfaction with cleanliness.
Vehicle Access: The greater a rider's access to motor vehicles, the more satisfied they are with the level of cleanliness.

Ride Frequency: Higher frequency of ridership aligns with a decreased satisfaction with the level of cleanliness.


## I feel safe riding the bus

## RESULTS:

| $38 \%$ | Strongly Agree |
| ---: | :--- |
| $47 \%$ | Agree |
| $10 \%$ | No Opinion |
| $4 \%$ | Disagree |
| $1 \%$ | Strongly Agree |

IMPLICATIONS: Only 1 person in 20 disagreed with feeling safe while riding the bus, while 17 out of 20 agreed ( 2 held no opinion either way). This overwhelming agreement is somewhat counter to the stereotypical view of public transit that many non-riders hold, wherein riding the bus is dangerous. Routes 8,14 , and 18 did have a higher rate of disagreement; it may be worthwhile to probe these routes to determine if there is a credible threat of danger at any time.

| I Feel Safe Riding the Bus |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Agree \% | No Opinion \% | Disagree \% | Weighted Avg. |
|  | 599 | 85 | 10 | 5 | 1.156 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 320 | 87 | 8 | 5 | 1.175 |
| Female | 244 | 82 | 12 | 6 | 1.123 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 or younger | 63 | 79 | 16 | 5 | 1.063 |
| 25-34 | 88 | 85 | 14 | 1 | 1.148 |
| 35-44 | 97 | 80 | 13 | 7 | 1.072 |
| 45-54 | 118 | 87 | 8 | 5 | 1.178 |
| 55-64 | 86 | 91 | 3 | 6 | 1.209 |
| 65 or older | 35 | 91 | 3 | 6 | 1.257 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |
| African-American | 254 | 84 | 8 | 6 | 1.138 |
| Caucasian | 196 | 87 | 8 | 5 | 1.199 |
| Hispanic | 22 | 73 | 18 | 9 | 1.091 |
| Native American | 55 | 89 | 7 | 4 | 1.182 |
| Other | 40 | 80 | 12 | 8 | 1.050 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School | 279 | 88 | 8 | 4 | 1.247 |
| Some College | 156 | 85 | 9 | 6 | 1.083 |
| Associate or Tech Degree | 50 | 72 | 24 | 4 | 0.980 |
| Undergraduate Degree | 37 | 84 | 8 | 8 | 1.189 |
| Graduate Degree | 23 | 78 | 4 | 17 | 0.957 |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 291 | 88 | 8 | 4 | 1.247 |
| \$10,000 to \$29,999 | 160 | 83 | 9 | 8 | 1.013 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 41 | 85 | 12 | 3 | 1.244 |
| \$50,000 or More | 24 | 79 | 13 | 8 | 1.042 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes, Full-Time | 162 | 85 | 10 | 5 | 1.136 |
| Yes, Part-Time | 88 | 83 | 9 | 8 | 1.091 |
| No, Looking | 119 | 86 | 9 | 5 | 1.176 |
| No, Not Looking | 60 | 88 | 10 | 2 | 1.300 |
| Retired | 87 | 91 | 4 | 5 | 1.310 |
| Student | 41 | 76 | 17 | 7 | 0.927 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 220 | 82 | 10 | 8 | 1.095 |
| 2 | 136 | 87 | 11 | 2 | 1.250 |
| 3 | 72 | 89 | 5 | 6 | 1.181 |
| 4 | 65 | 82 | 17 | 2 | 1.138 |
| 5+ | 60 | 87 | 5 | 8 | 1.133 |
| Vehicle Access |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 399 | 86 | 8 | 6 | 1.140 |
| 1 | 94 | 84 | 12 | 4 | 1.181 |
| 2+ | 56 | 80 | 20 | 0 | 1.214 |
| Ride Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5+ Times per Week | 285 | 84 | 9 | 7 | 1.081 |
| 3 or 4 Times per Week | 132 | 84 | 13 | 3 | 1.189 |
| 1 or 2 Times perWeek | 84 | 89 | 7 | 3 | 1.250 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Month | 35 | 86 | 8 | 6 | 1.343 |
| Less than Once per Month | 19 | 84 | 11 | 5 | 1.263 |
| First Time | 11 | 91 | 9 | 0 | 1.273 |

## DESCRIPTION:

Safety is often the foremost concern of non-riders when asked why they would not use the bus system. This stance is not substantiated by the data of this survey, however, as riders rated their feelings of safety higher than any other level of satisfaction.

Gender: Men and women both share an overwhelming level of satisfaction with regard to safety
Age: All age groups scored above a 1.000 for their weighted sum, but older riders feel safer overall than younger riders.

Race: There is little correlation between race/ethnicity and the perception of safety.
Education: With regard to perceptions of safety, there is a decreasing trend with greater education, excluding those riders with Undergraduate degrees.

Income: There is little correlation with income and perception of safety.
Employment: Those who do not work (retired, or unemployed, not looking) are the most satisfied with the level of safety on the bus. Students, however, scored the lowest of any demographic group.

Household Size: There is little correlation with household size and perception of safety.
Vehicle Access: While all sub-groups have high scores with regard to feeling safe on the bus, those riders with access to motor vehicles have a higher weighted average.

Ride Frequency: Those who ride the bus 5 or more times per week felt the least safe of all the frequency sub-groups.


## I spend less time waiting for the bus than before.

## RESULTS:

| $27 \%$ | Strongly Agree |
| ---: | :--- |
| $32 \%$ | Agree |
| $17 \%$ | No Opinion |
| $18 \%$ | Disagree |
| $6 \%$ | Strongly Agree |

IMPLICATIONS: The majority of riders feel that their waiting time has decreased compared to the former bus routes; however, 1 out of 4 riders feels that they do not spend less time waiting -- either their wait time is the same or worse than before. This question suffers from the fact that bus riders get to the bus stop when they know the bus will be there, so there is no reason for their wait time to change. The results illustrate an overall positive feeling about the new routes, but with a sizeable group that is less positive

| With the Changes, I Spend Less Time Waiting on the Bus |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Agree \% | No Opinion \% | Disagree \% | Weighted Avg. |
|  | 599 | 59 | 17 | 24 | 0.547 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 318 | 58 | 17 | 25 | 0.525 |
| Female | 244 | 60 | 16 | 24 | 0.582 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 or younger | 63 | 43 | 30 | 27 | 0.286 |
| 25-34 | 88 | 56 | 17 | 27 | 0.364 |
| 35-44 | 94 | 60 | 17 | 23 | 0.660 |
| 45-54 | 119 | 63 | 13 | 24 | 0.588 |
| 55-64 | 85 | 62 | 11 | 27 | 0.541 |
| 65 or older | 35 | 71 | 9 | 20 | 0.943 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |
| African-American | 253 | 59 | 15 | 26 | 0.545 |
| Caucasian | 195 | 59 | 17 | 24 | 0.549 |
| Hispanic | 22 | 73 | 13 | 14 | 0.818 |
| Native American | 56 | 55 | 18 | 27 | 0.446 |
| Other | 39 | 59 | 20 | 21 | 0.590 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School | 279 | 61 | 16 | 23 | 0.631 |
| Some College | 157 | 56 | 17 | 27 | 0.433 |
| Associate or Tech Degree | 49 | 49 | 20 | 31 | 0.306 |
| Undergraduate Degree | 37 | 68 | 18 | 14 | 0.892 |
| Graduate Degree | 22 | 55 | 13 | 32 | 0.227 |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 293 | 64 | 15 | 21 | 0.696 |
| \$10,000 to \$29,999 | 159 | 56 | 14 | 30 | 0.396 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 39 | 54 | 20 | 26 | 0.436 |
| \$50,000 or More | 24 | 54 | 13 | 33 | 0.333 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes, Full-Time | 159 | 57 | 17 | 26 | 0.472 |
| Yes, Part-Time | 88 | 60 | 17 | 23 | 0.602 |
| No, Looking | 119 | 53 | 21 | 26 | 0.462 |
| No, Not Looking | 61 | 62 | 18 | 20 | 0.656 |
| Retired | 87 | 72 | 8 | 20 | 0.874 |
| Student | 42 | 50 | 19 | 31 | 0.238 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 218 | 59 | 16 | 25 | 0.546 |
| 2 | 136 | 59 | 17 | 24 | 0.559 |
| 3 | 71 | 55 | 21 | 24 | 0.479 |
| 4 | 66 | 61 | 15 | 24 | 0.576 |
| 5+ | 60 | 57 | 15 | 28 | 0.500 |
| Vehicle Access |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 399 | 59 | 16 | 25 | 0.529 |
| 1 | 93 | 57 | 20 | 23 | 0.613 |
| 2+ | 55 | 55 | 20 | 25 | 0.455 |
| Ride Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5+ Times per Week | 282 | 58 | 14 | 28 | 0.454 |
| 3 or 4 Times perWeek | 132 | 60 | 17 | 23 | 0.583 |
| 1 or 2 Times perWeek | 83 | 65 | 15 | 20 | 0.687 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Month | 36 | 58 | 25 | 17 | 0.694 |
| Less than Once per Month | 19 | 58 | 26 | 16 | 0.789 |
| First Time | 11 | 36 | 64 | 0 | 0.636 |

## DESCRIPTION:

One of the major changes with the Embark system has been the reduction in headway between buses, whereby most of the routes went from a 1 -hour wait between buses to a 30 -minute wait. Based on this, a question to gauge riders' perceptions of waiting was included.

Gender: Women feel that they spend less time waiting for the bus more than men.
Age: Younger riders trended closer to "No Opinion" than "Agree" with regard to the weighted average score, and had significantly lower results than that of older riders.

Race: Hispanic riders were significantly more satisfied with their wait time than the other races and ethnic groups.

Education: There is a decreasing satisfaction trend with greater education, excluding those riders with Undergraduate degrees, whom are more satisfied than most demographic groups.

Income: Riders with the lowest household incomes felt that their wait time was much improved, much moreso than the other income brackets.

Employment: Those who work part-time or do not work (retired, or unemployed, not looking) are far more satisfied with the new routes than those who work full time, students, or those looking for a job while unemployed.

Household Size: There is little correlation with household size and a perception of wait time.
Vehicle Access: There is little correlation with access to a motor vehicle and a perception of wait time.
Ride Frequency: Those who ride the bus most frequently felt less strongly about the change in their wait time than infrequent and new riders.

## Weighted Averages by Demographic Group



| Demographic Group |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Graduate Degree | 0.227 |
| Student | 0.238 |
| 24 or younger | 0.286 |
| Associate or Tech Degree | 0.306 |
| \$50,000 or More | 0.333 |
| 25-34 | 0.364 |
| \$10,000 to \$29,999 | 0.396 |
| Some College | 0.433 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 0.436 |
| Native American | 0.446 |
| $5+$ Times per Week | 0.454 |
| ${ }^{2+\text { Cars }}$ | 0.455 |
| No, Looking | 0.462 |
| Yes, Full-Time | 0.472 |
| 3 HH | 0.479 |
| $5+\mathrm{HH}$ | 0.500 |
| Male | 0.525 |
| 0 Cars | 0.529 |
| 55-64 | 0.541 |
| African-American | 0.545 |
| 1 HH | 0.546 |
| ALL DEMOGRAPHICS | 0.547 |
| Caucasian | 0.549 |
| 2 HH | 0.559 |
| 4 HH | 0.576 |
| Female | 0.582 |
| 3 or 4 Times per Week | 0.583 |
| $45-54$ | 0.588 |
| Other Race | 0.590 |
| Yes, Part-Time | 0.602 |
| 1 Cars | 0.613 |
| High School | 0.631 |
| First Time | 0.636 |
| No, Not Looking | 0.656 |
| 35-44 | 0.660 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Week | 0.687 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Month | 0.694 |
| Less than \$10,000 | 0.696 |
| Less than Once per Month | 0.789 |
| Hispanic | 0.818 |
| Retired | 0.874 |
| Undergraduate Degree | 0.892 |
| 65 or Older | 0.943 |


|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | Demographic Group |
|  | $\vdots$ |
|  |  |
|  | 0 |

RESULTS: Overall, all demographic groups analyzed in this survey had positive feelings with regard to operations and the changes made with the transition to Embark from Metro Transit. There are three demographic types that reliably expressed a lower level of satisfaction than the other groups. These include:
2. Young riders
3. Frequent riders

Finding ways to improve conditions for these groups will ensure a greater level of satisfaction and potentially lead to higher ridership rates.

The table on the right shows the results to the survey question "What is your impression of the recent route changes". This was excluded from this weighted sum as new riders had a low score, but this was due to a lack of knowledge of how the system was beforehand. Most of the new riders indicated that they did not have an opinion of the changes. The results of this question by demographic group was less illuminating than the other questions. In the next section this question was included in the weighted sum as the routes are a more balanced manner of evaluation.

| Demographic Group |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Other Race | 0.079 |
| Graduate Degree | 0.174 |
| First Time | 0.200 |
| 24 or younger | 0.290 |
| Hispanic | 0.304 |
| 2+ Cars | 0.357 |
| No, Looking | 0.358 |
| 35-44 | 0.367 |
| 5+ HH | 0.383 |
| Yes, Part-Time | 0.400 |
| Associate or Tech Degree | 0.404 |
| Native American | 0.411 |
| 5+ Times per Week | 0.415 |
| \$50,000 or More | 0.417 |
| \$10,000 to \$29,999 | 0.433 |
| 65 or Older | 0.457 |
| Student | 0.462 |
| 25-34 | 0.464 |
| 1 HH | 0.473 |
| African-American | 0.496 |
| 0 Cars | 0.505 |
| 55-64 | 0.505 |
| 3 HH | 0.507 |
| Some College | 0.509 |
| Male | 0.516 |
| ALL DEMOGRAPHICS | 0.520 |
| Yes, Full-Time | 0.533 |
| Less than \$10,000 | 0.534 |
| High School | 0.535 |
| Female | 0.540 |
| 3 or 4 Times per Week | 0.586 |
| 4 HH | 0.594 |
| 2 HH | 0.602 |
| 1 Cars | 0.617 |
| Less than Once per Month | 0.632 |
| 45-54 | 0.639 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Month | 0.647 |
| Undergraduate Degree | 0.667 |
| 1 or 2 Times per Week | 0.698 |
| Caucasian | 0.702 |
| Retired | 0.733 |
| No, Not Looking | 0.742 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 0.756 |

Weighted Averages by Individual Route

| Bus Route |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 0.686 |
| 2 | 0.839 |
| 19 | 0.923 |
| 16 | 0.930 |
| 9 | 0.971 |
| 8 | 1.000 |
| 15 | 1.000 |
| 10 | 1.034 |
| 18 | 1.036 |
| ALL ROUTES | 1.072 |
| 3 | 1.080 |
| 14 | 1.083 |
| 23 | 1.133 |
| 11 | 1.143 |
| 7 | 1.152 |
| 12 | 1.206 |
| 13 | 1.289 |
| 22 | 1.344 |
| 40 | 1.346 |
| 38 | 1.364 |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | Bus Route |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | $\vdots$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.344 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.353 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 0.429 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 0.500 |
| $\mathbf{3 8}$ | 0.550 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 0.552 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 0.563 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 0.564 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 0.583 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 0.629 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.652 |
| ALL ROUTES | 0.68 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 0.732 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.792 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0.821 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.833 |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 0.935 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 0.947 |
| $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 1.042 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 1.097 |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 0.703 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 0.858 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 0.865 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 0.875 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 0.889 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.914 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 0.914 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.939 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.957 |
| ALL ROUTES | 0.967 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.000 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 1.000 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 1.036 |
| $\mathbf{3 8}$ | 1.048 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 1.098 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 1.107 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 1.161 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.167 |
|  | 1.194 |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | Bus Route |
|  | 2 |
|  | 0.561 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 0.625 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 0.636 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 0.657 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.724 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 0.786 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 0.833 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.871 |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 0.895 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 0.906 |
| ALL ROUTES |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.939 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.955 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 1.000 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 1.000 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 1.036 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 1.071 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 1.080 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.207 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 1.300 |
|  | 1.300 |


| Bus Route |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 0.786 |
| 14 | 0.875 |
| 18 | 0.963 |
| 5 | 1.000 |
| 7 | 1.000 |
| 13 | 1.132 |
| 10 | 1.143 |
| ALL ROUTES | 1.156 |
| 16 | 1.171 |
| 9 | 1.171 |
| 23 | 1.194 |
| 12 | 1.212 |
| 3 | 1.217 |
| 2 | 1.233 |
| 40 | 1.250 |
| 19 | 1.256 |
| 11 | 1.286 |
| 22 | 1.333 |
| 38 | 1.381 |
| 15 | 1.417 |


| Bus Route |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 0.214 |
| 5 | 0.265 |
| 14 | 0.333 |
| 16 | 0.381 |
| 3 | 0.435 |
| 8 | 0.464 |
| 38 | 0.476 |
| 9 | 0.486 |
| 10 | 0.500 |
| 19 | 0.513 |
| ALL ROUTES | 0.547 |
| 11 | 0.577 |
| 23 | 0.581 |
| 12 | 0.606 |
| 15 | 0.625 |
| 7 | 0.636 |
| 2 | 0.667 |
| 22 | 0.833 |
| 13 | 0.861 |
| 40 | 0.960 |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bus Route |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.083 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 0.103 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 0.231 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 0.257 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.361 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 0.375 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.455 |
| $\mathbf{A L L} \mathbf{R O U T E S}$ | 0.52 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 0.541 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 0.545 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.576 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.586 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 0.595 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 0.632 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0.633 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 0.676 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 0.750 |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 0.759 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.808 |
|  | 0.857 |


| Bus Route | Total Average Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 0.605 |
| 8 | 0.647 |
| 18 | 0.649 |
| 14 | 0.679 |
| 9 | 0.776 |
| 16 | 0.781 |
| 7 | 0.798 |
| 12 | 0.808 |
| 19 | 0.809 |
| 15 | 0.815 |
| 3 | 0.828 |
| ALL ROUTES | 0.835 |
| 10 | 0.886 |
| 11 | 0.909 |
| 13 | 0.923 |
| 2 | 0.933 |
| 23 | 0.952 |
| 38 | 0.997 |
| 40 | 1.069 |
| 22 | 1.088 |

RESULTS: Satisfaction with the bus service varies from bus route to bus route. The weighted average scores for each route for each of the seven previous questions reveal how each route is performing for each question, and when added together, provide a total score for overall satisfaction with the service. Using this methodology, Route 22 had the highest level of overall satisfaction, while Route 5 had the lowest.

The data can be used to help determine where specific improvements would be useful. For example, with regard to "The buses are clean...", it may be prudent to investigate the cleanliness of the 5 routes with the lowest scores. The same could be done to address rider satisfaction with driver relations. Additionally, for the routes that scored lower on questions related to timeliness, this may indicate that the route should be reconfigured. Route 22 is one of the simplest bus routes, staying on major arterials, and has a high satisfaction level with regard to timeliness; perhaps this could be a model to improve other routes.


## Riding and Accessibility Habits

How riders use the bus system can have implications for what improvements need to be made in the future. The following questions address issues related to rider frequency, how riders access their bus stop, how they pay for their fare, as well as why the ride the bus and where they are going. In particular, riders were asked about three topics that relate to public health:

1. Access to grocery stores
2. Access to healthcare facilities
3. Access to physical activity opportunities

Due to the fact that the majority of bus riders do not have access to a motor vehicle, it is imperative that the transit system connects them to daily needs and quality of life locations. In order to analyze these three topics a dual approach was taken. First, the standard format for comparing the routes in graphic format was undertaken. In addition, GIS mapping was utilized to determine the proximity of bus routes to the three types of facilities: grocery stores, healthcare facilities, and physical activity opportunities (public parks for the purposes of this study).


## How frequently do you ride the bus?

## RESULTS:

| $50 \%$ | $5+$ Times per Week |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24 \%$ | 3 or 4 Days per Week |
| $15 \%$ | 1 or 2 Days per Week |
| $6 \%$ | 1 or 2 Days per Month |
| $3 \%$ | Less than Once per Month |
| $2 \%$ | This is My First Time! |

IMPLICATIONS: Half of the riders surveyed ride the bus nearly every day of the week, and 9 out of 10 ride it at least once per week. It stands to reason that due to this fact, if the bus system extended its hours on weekdays and began running on Sunday, that many riders would take advantage. Regardless, the results of this question illustrate the need to ensure that existing riders are satisfied with their experience, and not overlooked at the expense of attracting new ridership. However, several new riders were on the bus when the survey was being taken, indicating that measures taken to gain higher ridership are working.


## How do you get to the bus stop?

## RESULTS:

| $91 \%$ | Walk/Wheelchair |
| :--- | :--- |
| $5 \%$ | Bicycle |
| $2 \%$ | Drive |
| $2 \%$ | Dropped Off or Taxi |

IMPLICATIONS: Bus riders overwhelmingly walk to and from their bus stops, with less than 1 in 10 riders using some other mode. This data reflects the importance of having adequate pedestrian infrastructure surrouding bus stops. This is especially important for riders who use wheelchairs, walkers, etc. ADA accessibility is very important to ensure that riders to not injure themselves when approaching a bus stop or boarding a bus.


## How do you pay for the bus fare?

## RESULTS:

39\% Cash
26\% Daily Pass
14\% Weekly Pass
21\% Monthly Pass

IMPLICATIONS: More riders pay for bus fare with cash than any other individual type of payment, though more than $60 \%$ of riders use a pass of some kind. As cash payment is the most expensive option, and household incomes are so low, this implies that riders are not able to afford the up-front costs required to purchase a weekly or monthly pass. Additionally, cash transactions take longer, causing buses to be late on their routes. These reasons illustrate some of the benefits to a "no fare" system like the Citylink system in Edmond.


## Why do you ride the bus?

## RESULTS:

57\% My Only Option
18\% Convenience
16\% To Save Money
8\% Better for the Environment
1\% My Job Pays for Bus Fare

IMPLICATIONS: The majority of bus riders use the system because they have no other viable transportation options available to them, though many of the same riders responded that they would use a bicycle, walk, or ride with a friend to make the trip they were on if the bus were not available. This may indicate that they do not like the fact that they have to ride the bus. This may be an opportunity to find ways to improve the morale and perception of riding the bus, reminding riders that they are bettering their community by riding the bus. Additionally, working with large employers to create programs whereby they pay for their employees to ride the bus could help increase ridership into new markets.


## I have better access to grocery stores.

## RESULTS:

63\% Yes
25\% Not Applicable
12\% No

IMPLICATIONS: The majority of riders feel that they have better access to grocery stores with the new routes. 1 in 4 riders do indicated that access to grocery stores is not applicable to their public transit needs. Route 8 had 3 out of 10 riders indicate they do not have better access to grocery stores; this may be due to the fact that they already had good access and it did not have room for improvement. Grocery shopping seems to be a very common usage of the public transit system.

There are 35 groceries storeswithina5-minute walk of a bus route, 29 of which are located within the Oklahoma City city limits.



## I have better access to healthcare facilities

RESULTS:<br>55\% Yes<br>32\% Not Applicable<br>13\% No

IMPLICATIONS: More than half of riders feel that their access to healthcare facilities has improved with the implementation of the new bus routes. 1 in 3 riders, however, indicated that they do not use the bus for this purpose. Routes 23 and 11 had the highest percentage of riders that felt an improvement, with more than 7 out of 10 riders agreeing. Routes 3, 8, 13, 14 and 19 all had roughly 2 out of 10 riders who said their access was worse.

361 of the 569 health care facilities in Oklahoma City are within a 5-minute walk of a bus route, including 91 facilities in the OUHSC campus. All of the hospitals in the city are within a 5 -minute walk of a bus route.
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## I have better access to physical activity...

RESULTS:<br>42\% Yes<br>44\% Not Applicable<br>14\% No

IMPLICATIONS: The greatest percentage response to this question indicates that a large portion of riders do not use the bus to get to any sort of physical activity opportunity, whether that is a park, a gym, or something else. Of those who do use the bus for accessing physical activity, three times more riders felt their access had improved than those that felt there had been a negative impact on their accessibility.

81 of the 173 parks in Oklahoma City are accessible by the bus routes. However, the large recreation areas, including Stinchcomb NatureReserve,Martin Nature Park, and every body of water, are not accessible by transit.
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If transit were not available, how would you make this trip?
 Ride with a Friend
Taxi ■ Uber/Lytt

Driven by someone else


Drive - Car-Sharing Service


## Scale comparison of graphs:



## RESULTS:

51\% Walk
17\% Bicycle
10\% Drive
16\% Taxi
7\% Car-sharing Services
1\% Uber/Lyft
25\% Ride with a Friend
19\% Would not Make the Trip

IMPLICATIONS: Riders indicated that their most likely alternative to riding the bus would be to walk to their destination. Therefore, pedestrian infrastructure is essential to ensure that riders have options when the bus service is not running. Bicycling also scored highly, indicating a need for investment in bicycle infrastructure. The difference between "Taxi" and "Uber/Lyft" is interesting considering the apprehension expressed by established taxi agencies with regard to ride-sharing companies. "Car-sharing Services" do not exist in Oklahoma City, though they did when this survey was conducted. The most disconcerting answer to this question is that 1 in 5 riders would not make the trip if the bus did not exist. This shows just how reliant many riders are on the transit system.

## Conclusions

The results of this survey help to understand who is riding the bus, why they use the bus, and how they feel their needs are being met by the service. We see in the section entitled "Individual Route Profiles" (p. 8) that all of the ZIP codes that a bus route passes through have riders represented. This implies that if people have access to a transit system, they will use it. We also see that despite lower total numbers of citizens being within a $1 / 4$-mile distance of a bus route with the new Embark routes than the Metro Transit routes, ridership has increased. System changes to make the buses more frequent and streamlined have proven to be a bigger draw to potential riders.

There is no average rider, but a wide array of demographic groups that all have different experiences on the bus. Finding ways to make everyone as comfortable and satisfied as possible should be a perpetual goal of any transit agency. Finally, we see where there are additional needs. Most riders are very dependent on the bus system, and would see a big improvement in their overall quality of life if the bus service was expanded into new areas and at all times of the day and week.

The changes made as part of the transformation from Metro Transit to Embark have been undeniably successful. Further changes should be planned and executed to capture the momentum accumulated in this transition. Improvements to bus stops and increases in service will continue to increase ridership, revenues, and satisfaction with the service.

## Recommendations

1. Include questions and methodologies from this survey into recurring survey.
2. Improve accessibility.
a. Improve sidewalk access to bus stops throughout the City of Oklahoma City.
b. Add bus shelters where possible.
c. Add bicycle infrastructure where possible.
3. Increase service level.
a. Add Sunday service.
b. Increase number of evening service routes.
4. Rework routes with most potential to include access to parks and natural areas.
5. Rework routes with most potential to include key employment areas; include schedule changes in these reworkings to most effectively connect people to jobs.
6. Create marketing that builds morale for riders, making them feel better about riding the bus.
a. Tell them the environmental benefits.
b. Tell them the financial benefits.
7. Find ways to decrease reliance on cash for fares.
a. Consider going fareless.
b. Allow credit card purchase of passes at the transit center.
c. Allow credit card purchase of passes on buses.
d. Decrease daily pass cost to $\$ 3.50$ or cheaper so that it is not cheaper to buy single trips for an out-and-back trip.
e. Make 7-day and 30-day cards not count Sundays as part of their length - buses don't run, so these days should not count.
8. Use the weighted average comparisons in this survey to investigate conditions on the lowest performing routes to determine what improvements should be made.
a. Heavily-traveled routes may need additional buses to increase capacity.
b. Certain drivers may have poor customer relations skills and should be addressed individually.
c. Routes suffering from poor timeliness may need to be considered for re-routing.
9. Find ways to improve the riding experience for the key demographic groups that are least satisfied: young riders, higher-income riders, and frequent riders.
a. Increase marketing efforts toward families, women, and students of all ages.
